

Integrity of ghosts

Gert Almkvist

February 2, 2008

Introduction.

Let f be an $r \times r$ -matrix with integer entries. Let further

$$\det(1 + tf) = 1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + \dots + a_rt^r$$

be the characteristic polynomial of f . Then $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r$ are of course also integers. Conversely given integers $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r$ we can find an $r \times r$ -matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \pm a_r \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \mp a_{r-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & a_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with integer entries such that $\det(1 + tf) = 1 + a_1t + \dots + a_rt^r$.

The *trace sequence* $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r$ where $b_i = \text{Tr}(f^i)$ also consists of integers. But these cannot be chosen arbitrarily. E.g. since $2a_2 = b_1^2 - b_2$ it follows that $b_1 \equiv b_2 \pmod{2}$. The aim of this note is to find all congruences necessary and sufficient for $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r$ to be a trace sequence. The condition is surprisingly simple:

$$b_n \equiv b_{n/p} \pmod{p^k} \text{ for } n \leq r$$

where p is a prime such that

$$n = p^k s \text{ where } (p, s) = 1$$

As a byproduct we find for $g \in G$ that

$$\chi(g^{p^k}) \equiv \chi(g^{p^{k-1}}) \pmod{p^k}$$

if χ is an integer valued (complex) character of a finite group (e.g. the symmetric group or the Monster). This gives a partial answer to a question by R.Brauer about conditions on a character table (Problem 6, p.139 in [3]). The proof uses the fact that the trace sequence consists of the "ghost components" of a certain Witt vector with integer coefficients.

The congruences up to $r = 10$ were suggested by a computer program made by Henrik Eriksson (in 1983) for which I am most thankful to him.

The proofs.

We start with

Proposition 1. Given

$$\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - x_i t^i) = 1 - a_1 t + a_2 t^2 - a_3 t^3 + a_4 t^4 - \dots$$

then x_n is a polynomial with integer coefficients in $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$. Similarly a_n is a polynomial over $\mathbf{Z}$ in $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$

Proof: $x_1 = a_1$. The rest follows easily by induction.

Corollary. All a_i are integers if and only if all x_i are integers.

The sequence

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots)$$

is called a *Witt vector*. For more about Witt vectors see [2].

Definition 3. The *ghost components* $b_1, b_2, \dots$ of the Witt vector $(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ are given by

$$-t \frac{d}{dt} \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - x_i t^i) \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n t^n$$

Proposition 4.

$$b_n = \sum_{d|n} dx_d^{n/d}$$

In particular if p is a prime then

$$b_{p^k} = x_1^{p^k} + px_p^{p^{k-1}} + p^2 x_{p^2}^{p^{k-2}} + \dots + p^k x_{p^k}$$

Proof: Expanding the left hand side of Definition 3 we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} i x_i^j t^{ij} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{i|n} i x_i^{n/i} \right\} t^n$$

Theorem 5. Let f be a square matrix with integer entries. Then for prime p we have

$$Tr(f^{p^k}) \equiv Tr(f^{p^{k-1}}) \pmod{p^k}$$

Proof: Let $\det(1 - tf) = 1 - a_1t + a_2t^2 - \dots \pm a_rt^r$. Then $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r$ are integers and so are $x_1, x_2, \dots$ in the corresponding Witt vector. Using the "Exponential Trace Formula" (see [1], Theorem 1.10)

$$-t \frac{d}{dt} \log(\det(1 - tf)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Tr(f^i) t^i$$

we find that $b_n = Tr(f^n)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are the ghosts of $x_1, x_2, \dots$. By Proposition 4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} b_{p^k} &= x_1^{p^k} + px_p^{p^{k-1}} + \dots + p^k x_{p^k} \\ b_{p^{k-1}} &= x_1^{p^{k-1}} + px_p^{p^{k-2}} + \dots + p^{k-1} x_{p^{k-1}} \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$b_{p^k} - b_{p^{k-1}} = \{x_1^{p^k} - x_1^{p^{k-1}}\} + p \{x_p^{p^{k-1}} - x_p^{p^{k-2}}\} + \dots + p^{k-1} \{x_{p^{k-1}}^{p^k} - x_{p^{k-1}}^{p^{k-1}}\} + p^k x_{p^k}$$

We finish by showing the following Lemma.

Lemma 6. For all integer a we have

$$p^k \mid \{a^{p^k} - a^{p^{k-1}}\}$$

Proof. We use induction over k . For $k = 1$ the result is Fermat's little theorem. Assume that

$$a^{p^{k-1}} = a^{p^{k-2}} + cp^{k-1}$$

Now take the p^{th} power of both sides.

Corollary 7.

$$Tr(f^{p^k}) \equiv Tr(f^{p^{k-r}}) \pmod{p^{k-r+1}}$$

Remark 8. The Theorem is also valid when f does not have integer entries. We only need that $\det(1 + tf)$ has integer coefficients.

Theorem 9. Let χ be a complex character of a finite group G , that takes integer values. Then

$$\chi(g^{p^k}) \equiv \chi(g^{p^{k-1}}) \pmod{p^k}$$

for all g in G . In particular it is true for all characters of the symmetric group.

Proof. Since $b_n = \chi(g^n) = \text{Tr}(g^n) \in \mathbf{Z}$ it follows by the exponential trace formula (or by Newton's formulas for the symmetric functions) that $a_i \in \mathbf{Q}$. But a_i is the i^{th} elementary symmetric function of the eigenvalues of g . Hence a_i is a rational algebraic integer so $a_i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then the rest follows from Theorem 5.

Remark 10. From Lemma 6 it follows that

$$\det(f^{p^k}) \equiv \det(f^{p^{k-1}}) \pmod{p^k}$$

But we can do better than that.

Proposition 11. Let f be a square matrix with integer entries. Then

$$\det(1 + tf^{p^k}) \equiv \det(1 + tf^{p^{k-1}}) \pmod{p^k}$$

Proof: Let

$$\det(1 + tf^{p^k}) = 1 + c_1 t + \dots + c_i t^i + \dots + c_r t^r$$

Then

$$c_i = \text{Tr}(\Lambda^i(f^{p^k})) = \text{Tr}((\Lambda^i f)^{p^k})$$

since Λ^i is a functor. Hence by applying Theorem 5 with f replaced by $\Lambda^i f$ we have

$$\text{Tr}((\Lambda^i f)^{p^k}) \equiv \text{Tr}((\Lambda^i f)^{p^{k-1}}) \pmod{p^k}$$

Theorem 13. Let $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r$ be integers. Then there exists an $r \times r$ -matrix f with integer entries such that $b_i = \text{Tr}(f^i)$ if and only if the following condition is fulfilled for all $n \leq r$:

If $n = mp^k$ where $(m, p) = 1$ then

$$b_n \equiv b_{n/p} \pmod{p^k}$$

Proof: The necessity of the condition follows from Theorem 5 with f replaced by f^m .

To see the sufficiency we have to show that x_n are integers for $n \leq r$. Then it follows from Proposition 1 that $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r$ are integers and then we find f as in the introduction.

We use induction on n . If $n = 1$ then $x_1 = b_1$. Assume that $x_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ for $i < n$. By Proposition 4 we have with $n = mp^k$ where $(m, p) = 1$

$$b_n = b_{mp^k} = \sum_{d|m} \sum_{j=0}^k dp^j \left\{ x_{dp^j}^{m/d} \right\}^{p^{k-j}}$$

We get

$$b_n - b_{n/p} = nx_n + \sum_{d|m, d < m} dp^k x_{dp^k}^{m/d} + \sum_{d|m} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} dp^j \left\{ (x_{dp^j}^{m/d})^{p^{k-j}} - (x_{dp^j}^{m/d})^{p^{k-j-1}} \right\}$$

By assumption $p^k \mid (b_n - b_{n/p})$. Using the induction hypothesis x_{dp^j} is an integer for all $d \mid m$ and all $0 \leq j < k$. Lemma 6 implies that

$$p^{k-j} \mid \left\{ (x_{dp^j}^{m/d})^{p^{k-j}} - (x_{dp^j}^{m/d})^{p^{k-j-1}} \right\}$$

and hence every term except nx_n on the right hand side is divisible by p^k . It follows that

$$\frac{nx_n}{p^k}$$

is an integer. Do this with every prime p dividing n . It follows from the Chinese Remainder Theorem that x_n is an integer.

Ghosts in the Moonshine.

It has been pointed out to me by John Walter that the results above could be used on the monster group M of Fischer and Griess. Following the notation of Ogg ([8], p.531), for every x in M there is a modular function

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n(x) q^n$$

Here

$$q = \exp(2\pi i x)$$

and $\omega_n(x)$ are integers such that $x \rightarrow \omega_n(x)$ are certain (reducible) characters of M . ($J(x)$ depends only on the conjugate class of x in M . In particular $J(1) = j - 744$ where

$$j = \frac{1}{q} + 744 + 1996884q + \dots$$

is the modular invariant (see [3], [7], [8], [11], [12]).

Theorem. Let p be a prime. Then

$$J(x^{p^k}) \equiv J(x^{p^{k-1}}) \pmod{p^k}$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 9 since the characters take only integer values.

Remark. The result is only interesting in case p is one of the 15 primes ($2 \leq p \leq 31$, $p = 41, 47, 59, 71$) dividing the order of M . Since the maximal order of an element in M is 119, only a few small k are of interest.

History of the problem

The author ran into the problem of characterizing trace sequences in connection with K-theory (see [1], p.296). After more than 10 years I found the proof of Theorem 12, but first after Henrik Eriksson on a computer had suggested the congruences up to $r = 10$.

This paper was written in 1983. John McKay informed me that Frame [4] proved Theorem 5 (for matrices of finite order) already in 1949, using roots of unity. After my talk at University of Illinois, Urbana in the Fall of 1983, Stanley gave three more references: Carlitz 1958 [2], Schur 1937 [9] and Jänischen 1921 [6]. My proof is almost identical with Schur's proof. Thus he uses Witt vectors (probably before Witt). But he does not mention matrices or any application to character tables. This is remarkable since he generalizes some results to the integers of a number field. It is my hope that putting this paper on the net shall make Schur's result more wellknown.

References.

1. G. Almkvist, Endomorphisms of finitely generated projective modules over a commutative ring, Arkiv f. Matematik, 11 (1973), 263-311.
2. L. Carlitz, Note on a paper of Dieudonné, proc of AMS. 9 (1958), 32-33
3. J. Conway, S.Norton, Monstrous Moonshine, Bull. London Math. Soc. 11 (1979), 308-339.
4. J. S. Frame, Congruence relations between the traces of matrix powers, Can.J.Math. 11 (1949), 303-304.
5. M. J. Greenberg, Lectures on forms in many variables, Benjamin, New York, Amsterdam, 1959.

6. W. Jänischen, Ueber die Verallgemeinerung einer Gausschen Formel aus der Theorie der höheren Kongruenzen, Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Math. Gesellschaft, 20 (1921), 23-29.
7. G. Mason, Modular forms and the theory of Thompson series,
8. A. Ogg, Modular functions, in Proc. of Symposia in Math. , Vol. 1, Wiley 1963
9. I. Schur, Aritmetische Eigenschaften der Potenzsummen einer algebraischen Gleichung, Comp. math. 4 (1937), 432-444.
10. R. P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 2, p.105, Problem 5.2, Cambodge Univ. Press 1999.
11. J. Thompson, Finite groups and modular functions, Bull. london math. Soc. 11 (1979), 347-351.
12. J. Thompson, Some numerology between the Fischer-Griess monster and the elliptic modular function, Bull. London Math. Soc. 11 (1979), 352-353.

Math Dept
 Univ of Lund
 Box 118
 22100 Lund, Sweden
 gert@maths.lth.se